THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. CR23-0189-JCC 10 Plaintiff, MINUTE ORDER v. 11 GEORGE RAMIREZ, 12 13 Defendant. 14 15 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C. 16 Coughenour, United States District Judge: 17 This matter comes before the Court on the Government's motion to extend the briefing 18 schedule for Defendant's pretrial motions (Dkt. No. 44). Defendant filed his pretrial motions on 19 May 13, 2024. (See Dkt. No. 43.) Pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 12(b)(2) and (3), the 20 Government's response is due on May 20, 2024, with Defendant's reply due on May 27, 2024. 21 Trial is currently set for June 3, 2024. (See Dkt. No. 23.) 22 The Government now seeks an extension until May 27, 2024, to file its response to 23 Defendant's pretrial motions, citing counsel's unavailability and the complexity of the 24 underlying motions. (See Dkt. No. 44 at 1.) Although the Court is sympathetic to counsel's 25 <sup>1</sup> Notably, the Government did not oppose Defendant's motion for an extension of time to file 26 pretrial motions, which Defendant filed on May 2, 2024. (See Dkt. No. 37.)

MINUTE ORDER CR23-0189-JCC PAGE - 1

1	familial obligations, it cannot agree to an extension, given the quickly approaching trial date.
2	Moreover, the Court is not persuaded that the underlying defense motion (Dkt. No. 43) is
3	exceptionally complex.
4	For those reasons, the Government's motion (Dkt. No. 44) is DENIED. The Government
5	shall file its response by May 20, 2024. Defendant shall file his reply, if any, by May 27, 2024.
6	DATED this 15th day of May 2024.
7	
8	Ravi Subramanian Clerk of Court
9	s/Kathleen Albert
10	Deputy Clerk
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

MINUTE ORDER CR23-0189-JCC PAGE - 2